

Advanced Discrete Mathematics
Problem Session 16

Gypsy Akhyar
3rd Sep 2025

e_r and characteristic polynomials

Recall that

$$\sum_{r=0}^k e_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) z^r = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i z)$$

$$= (1 + x_1 z)(1 + x_2 z) \cdots (1 + x_n z)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^n \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r} \right) \cdot z^r$$

and so

$$e_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r}$$

Setting $z = -1$, we get

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) = \sum_{r=0}^n e_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) (-1)^r$$

Now, let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ be diagonalisable. This means there exists $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that

$$PAP^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$.

The characteristic polynomial of A is $p_A(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ such that

$$p_A(t) = \det(A - tI)$$

$$= \det(P(A - tI)P^{-1})$$

$$= \det(PAP^{-1} - tI)$$

$$= \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} t & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \det\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 - t & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n - t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (\lambda_1 - t) \cdots (\lambda_n - t)$$

$$= (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n (t - \lambda_i)$$

$$= (-1)^n t^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i t^{-1})$$

$$= (-1)^n t^n \sum_{r=0}^n e_r(\lambda_1 t^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n t^{-1}) (-1)^r$$

$$= (-1)^n t^n \sum_{r=0}^n e_r(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) t^{-r} (-1)^r$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^n e_r(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) (-1)^{n-r} t^{n-r}$$

pr and matrix powers

$$\text{tr}(A^r) = \text{tr}(PA^rP^{-1})$$

$$= \text{tr}((PAP^{-1})^r)$$

$$= \text{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}^r\right)$$

$$= \text{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^r & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n^r \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \lambda_1^r + \dots + \lambda_n^r$$

$$= p_r(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

So, the question of

what is the relationship between $p_A(t)$ and $\text{tr}(A^r)$

is equivalent to the question of

what is the relationship between e_r and p_A ?

The answer is

the classical Newton identities $k e_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} p_i e_{k-i}$

There is also, in a similar vein

the Wronski identities $\sum_{i+j=k} (-1)^i e_i h_j = 0$.

which is actually found by taking the Euler characteristic of the Koszul/de Rham complex

$$0 \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^r(\mathbb{F}^n) \otimes S^0(\mathbb{F}^n) \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^{r+1}(\mathbb{F}^n) \otimes S^1(\mathbb{F}^n)$$

$$\xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

$$\xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^r(\mathbb{F}^n) \otimes S^{r-1}(\mathbb{F}^n) \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda^0(\mathbb{F}^n) \otimes S^r(\mathbb{F}^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Total positivity

This ONLY is for matrices $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ (other fields don't have a notion of 'positive').

We have the identity

$$e_r(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \text{tr}(\Lambda^r(A))$$

Given $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ we get a linear transformation

$$A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

but we also get many extra linear transformations for free:

$$\Lambda^r(A): \Lambda^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Lambda^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where

$$\Lambda^r(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}\text{-span} \{ b_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge b_{i_r} \mid i_1, \dots, i_r \in \{1, \dots, n\}, i_1 < \dots < i_r \}$$

and

$$b_i = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0}_{i^{\text{th}} \text{ position}})^T.$$

The $r \times r$ minors are the entries of $\Lambda^r(A)$ with respect to the above basis.

The game of total positivity is about answering the question of

Can you write a matrix with positive minors?